

## Due diligence map

Each new project has due diligence, in addition each project in its construction and operation stage carries out a periodic review with the managers and project managers, which are periodically monitored by the presidency committees of each subsidiary.

	Colombia	Peru	Chile	Panama
Vulnerable groups				
ocal community	х	х	х	х
Afro community				
Indigenous populations	x	х	х	X
Suppliers	Х	Х	Х	
Employees	х			
Mitigation actions				
Social management	X	х	x	
Social complementary programs	х	х	X	х
Fraining	x	х	×	х
Declaration of Human Rights	Х	Х	х	х
Human Rights Diagnostics	X	Х	х	X
Contractual clauses	Х	Х	х	Х
Social management under	Х	Х	х	Х
construction				
Alternatives for the location of lots	X		x	
and access to the lines				
preventive archaeological	Х	Х	x	
orest compensation plan	Х	Х		
Anticipated engagement with	X			
security actors				
Mechanisms				
Complaints and claims	Х	Х	x	X
Social risk workshop	Х	Х	x	Х
Service office	Х	х	x	х
Risk analysis in expansion plans	х	х	X	х
Compliance officer	х	Х	х	х
Communication mechanisms with project liaisons and authorities	х	x	×	х

X: Identified risk

Due	di	lig	en	CE
map				

Each new project has due diligence, in addition each project in its construction and operation stage carries out a periodic review with the managers and project managers, which are periodically monitored by the presidency committees of each subsidiary.

	Risks and impacts	Colombia	Peru	Chile	Panamá
	Environmental	<ul> <li>Increase in biotic offset</li> <li>Monitoring of fauna and flora</li> <li>Relocation of flora and fauna</li> <li>Increase in flora and fauna rescue values</li> <li>Identify key elements derived from the Escazú agreement.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rescue of flora, fauna and increased reforestation area</li> <li>Extreme weather changes</li> <li>Conservation and recovery of the Misquiyacu-Rumiyacu ecosystem, which makes greater demands on the environmental management strategy.</li> </ul>		
9	Property: detriment and impact from the use of the land	Increase in areas to be compensated.	<ul> <li>Absence of property boundaries, which makes property negotiation difficult.</li> <li>High economic expectations in the negotiation of easements.</li> </ul>		
	Impact on the archeological and cultural heritage	<ul><li>Intervention of territory with cultural use by ethnic communities</li><li>Archaeological findings.</li></ul>	Archaeological findings.	Archaeological findings Indigenous consultations.	Archaeological findings Presence of Indigenous communities.
	Labor (occupational health and safety)	<ul> <li>Improper management of labor rights.</li> </ul>			
	Fraud and corruption	<ul> <li>Alteration of information</li> <li>Conflicts of interest</li> <li>Operational or financial noncompliance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Undue payments through third parties.</li> </ul>	Potential for fraud targeting officials facilitating permits at the bid stage.	Possibilities of fraud Manifestation targeting public officials.
	Public order (citizen security, theft, damage, physical aggression, extortion)	<ul> <li>Social opposition to the project</li> <li>Socio-political risk</li> <li>Presence of illegal armed groups and guerrilla groups such as FARC and ELN</li> <li>Common crime</li> <li>Presence of explosive devices, landmines, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mobilization and opposition of farmer populations and indigenous peoples</li> <li>Community opposition to the project</li> <li>Social conflict due to the presence of crops bordering on illegality.</li> </ul>		
	Social	<ul> <li>Increase in the number of families to be resettled</li> <li>Presence of drug trafficking</li> <li>Influence of political proselytizing</li> <li>Presence of ethnic communities/Collective territories.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Project shutdown due to mobilization of 25 farmer communities due to access complaints.</li> <li>Land conflict between communities</li> <li>Increased basic needs resulting from the consequences of the El Niño phenomenon</li> <li>High expectations of the community due to the mining influence area.</li> </ul>	Impact on viability due to political proselytizing Presence of towns with cultural relevance Relocation of communities.	Lack of agreements with communities Increased social costs due to higher demand for social programs.
	Suppliers	Threats to contractor personnel.	<ul> <li>Community impact on the integrity of suppliers.</li> </ul>	No timely payments to subcontractors Lack of payments to cover labor requirements in subcontractors Economic insolvency.	